UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,218	07/14/2006	Erik J. Marinissen	NL04 0065 US1	2749
65913 7590 02/13/2009 NXP, B.V.			EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			KERVEROS, JAMES C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/586,218	MARINISSEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
JAMES C. KERVEROS	2117

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
/JAMES C KERVEROS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2117

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment after final rejection filed on 2/5/2009 has been entered.

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/5/2009, with respect to the rejection of Claims 1-25 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bhattacharya (US Patent No. 6,378,090), have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, for the reasons as set forth in the FINAL Office Action, mailed 12/10/2008.

In response to Applicant's argument that Bhattacharya does not teach that the disable TMS signal (i. e., the asserted global enable signal) is provided to each of the embedded cores 720 and 730 (i.e., the asserted modules) for placing the cores 720 and 730 in a test mode, clearly Bhattacharya discloses the "Disable TMS signal is supplied to AND gates 741 and 743 (Fig. 7) to control supply of test data input TDI to the corresponding embedded cores 720 and 730. This Disable TMS signal is high-inactive when snoopy test access controller 921 is in a snoopy state. This permits the supply of the test mode select signal to the embedded cores" (Col. 11, lines 30-67). In other words, when the Disable TMS signal is high, it enables the test mode select signals TMS2 and TMS3 through the respective AND gates 741 and 743 to the corresponding embedded cores, thus placing the cores 720 and 730 in a test mode.

Date: 10 February 2009 Office Action: ADVISORY

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22314.

Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 273-3824 Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov